# **Notice of Meeting**

# **Cabinet Member for Community Safety Decisions**



Date & time Friday, 15 March 2013 at 3.30 pm Place County Hall, Kingston upon Thames, Surrey KT1 2DN Contact
Anne Gowing
Room 122, County Hall
Tel 020 8541 9938

Chief Executive David McNulty

anne.gowing@surreycc.gov.uk

If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, eg large print or braille, or another language please either call 020 8541 9068, write to Democratic Services, Room 122, County Hall, Penrhyn Road, Kingston upon Thames, Surrey KT1 2DN, Minicom 020 8541 8914, fax 020 8541 9009, or email anne.gowing@surreycc.gov.uk.

This meeting will be held in public. If you would like to attend and you have any special requirements, please contact Anne Gowing on 020 8541 9938.

# Cabinet Member Mrs Kay Hammond

#### **AGENDA**

#### 1 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

To receive any declarations of disclosable pecuniary interests from Members in respect of any item to be considered at the meeting.

#### Notes:

- In line with the Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests)
  Regulations 2012, declarations may relate to the interest of the
  member, or the member's spouse or civil partner, or a person with
  whom the member is living as husband or wife, or a person with whom
  the member is living as if they were civil partners and the member is
  aware they have the interest.
- Members need only disclose interests not currently listed on the Register of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests.
- Members must notify the Monitoring Officer of any interests disclosed at the meeting so they may be added to the Register.
- Members are reminded that they must not participate in any item where they have a disclosable pecuniary interest.

#### 2 PROCEDURAL MATTERS

#### 2a Members' Questions

(i) The deadline for Member's questions is 12pm four working days before the meeting (11 March 2013).

#### 2b Public Questions

The deadline for public questions is seven days before the meeting (8 *March 2013*).

# 2c Petitions

The deadline for petitions was 14 days before the meeting, and no petitions have been received.

# 3 SERVICES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE: LOCAL PREVENTION COMMISSIONING 2013 - 2015

(Pages 1 - 8)

This is a report from the Youth Task Group for Epsom & Ewell. Services for Young People is currently in the process of working with the Youth Task Group to re-commission the Local Prevention Framework and its associated elements for the period 1 September 2013 – 31 August 2015. In order to commission services that meet the needs of young people in Epsom & Ewell, a local needs specification has been drawn up based on information provided by the Youth Task Group. Due to procurement standing orders, the Epsom & Ewell Local Committee have declared a conflict of interests, are unable to approve the local needs specification and so it is requested that a decision is made by the Cabinet Member for Community Safety instead.

Services for Young People propose some improvements to the Local Prevention Framework following the first year of the commission countywide.

[The decisions on this item may be called in by the Communities Select Committee]

#### 4 ABBEY BARN TRUST

The Abbey Barn Trust is an inactive trust that is currently being held by the County Council. A request has been made to transfer the full amount of approximately £71,000 to be administered and managed by the Community Foundation for Surrey for the benefit of young people in Runnymede as originally intended.

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Cabinet Member for Community Safety to transfer the funds to Community Foundation for Surrey.

[The decisions on this item can be called in by the Communities Select Committee]

# David McNulty Chief Executive

Published: 6 March 2013

# MOBILE TECHNOLOGY - ACCEPTABLE USE

Use of mobile technology (mobiles, BlackBerries, etc.) in meetings can:

- Interfere with the PA and Induction Loop systems
- Distract other people
- Interrupt presentations and debates
- Mean that you miss a key part of the discussion

Please switch off your mobile phone/BlackBerry for the duration of the meeting. If you wish to keep your mobile or BlackBerry switched on during the meeting for genuine personal reasons, ensure that you receive permission from the Chairman prior to the start of the meeting and set the device to silent mode.

Thank you for your co-operation

#### SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

#### **CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITY SAFETY**

DATE: 15 MARCH 2013

LEAD GARATH SYMONDS, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR YOUNG

OFFICER: PEOPLE, CHILDREN SCHOOLS AND FAMILIES

SUBJECT: SERVICES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE LOCAL PREVENTION

**COMMISSIONING 2013-15** 

# **SUMMARY OF ISSUE:**

This is a report from the Youth Task Group for Epsom & Ewell. Services for Young People is currently in the process of working with the Youth Task Group to recommission the Local Prevention Framework and its associated elements for the period 1 September 2013 – 31 August 2015. In order to commission services that meet the needs of young people in Epsom & Ewell, a local needs specification has been drawn up based on information provided by the Youth Task Group. Due to procurement standing orders, the Epsom & Ewell Local Committee have declared a conflict of interests, are unable to approve the local needs specification and so it is requested that a decision is made by the Cabinet Member for Community Safety instead.

Services for Young People propose some improvements to the Local Prevention Framework following the first year of the commission countywide. These changes are outlined in this report.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

It is recommended that:

- 1. The allocation of £8,500 to Personalised Prevention is approved
- The local needs specification as set out in Annex 1 to be considered by providers focusing on the identified needs of Epsom & Ewell and the geographical neighbourhoods prioritised by the Youth Task Group is approved.

# **REASON FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- 1. The Youth Task Group was set up by the Local Committee for the purpose of advising the Local Committee in relation to youth issues, with particular reference to prioritising needs in respect of SCC Services for Young People resources devolved to the Local Committee. The Task Group has identified key priorities for Epsom & Ewell to prevent young people becoming Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET). This report brings forward recommendations from the Task Group on how the local commissioning resource should be targeted.
- 2. The recommendations focus on key geographical neighbourhoods and community priorities. However the Task Group agreed that there should be

borough-wide access to any commissioned services. Following a workshop the Task Group discussed and agreed key risk factors for Epsom & Ewell and these were used to produce a local specification for the Local Prevention Framework for 2013-15 as set out in **Annex 1**.

3. Following agreement of the Cabinet Member for Community Safety, proposals to address the identified priority areas and risk factors will be sought from providers. The Commissioning and Development team will create a short-list of bids for consideration of the Task Group. The Task Group will then consider the shortlist before final proposals for award of grant(s) are brought to the Cabinet Member for Community Safety. The commissioned services would then commence on 1 September 2013.

# **DETAILS:**

#### INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 4. The Local Prevention Framework is a commission aimed to reduce risk factors and increase protective factors for young people who are identified as being most at risk of becoming Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET). The Local Prevention Framework model is intended to commission opportunities for young people in school years 8-11. Delivered outside of core school hours and external to SCC youth centres, all year round.
- The Local Prevention Framework contract has been in place across Epsom & Ewell since 1 April 2012. This service is currently delivered by Epsom & Ewell Borough Council.
- Following the first year of the Local Prevention Framework commissioning, the Commissioning and Development team conducted a review of the procurement and commissioning process involved in the Local Prevention Framework. The results of this were reported to the Education Select Committee on 29 November 2012.
- 7 Several improvements to the Local Prevention Framework were proposed. These include:
  - a) The inclusion of a Personal Prevention section which will be administered through Individual Prevention Grants. This fund is to provide funding through the Youth Support Service to young people who are NEET or at risk of becoming NEET to support them to participate in Education, Employment or Training. This is through the local purchase of items or services to support the individual. No funds will be provided directly to the young person, but spent by the Youth Support Service Team Manager on the individual's behalf. This will be allocated by the Local Committee from the Local Prevention Framework funding to the Youth Support Service.
  - b) The inclusion of a Universal Prevention section which will incorporate Small Grants. This is to more closely align Small Grants within Services for Young People's preventative strategy whilst recognising the more general nature of the Small Grants.

- c) To allow groups of young people (two or more) to apply through a recognised voluntary sector organisation for funding through the Universal Prevention Small Grants process to support projects or activities, in addition to small voluntary, community and faith sector organisations who can apply.
- d) The retention of the Risk of NEET Indicators (RONI), but to move away from a specified list produced annually. This is to allow providers and all services engaged with Services for Young People and beyond to identify young people who exhibit these risk factors locally, rather than centrally. It is hoped that this will enable a more localised service and remove any perceived restrictions a central list could create. RONI lists will still be generated for the purposes of the year 11-12 transition programme.

# **RONI** risk factors are (this is not exhaustive list):

- a. School attendance less than 60%
- b. Excluded from school
- c. Statement of Special Educational Needs, school action or school action plus
- d. Living in an area with increased crime or anti-social behaviour
- e. Engaged in anti-social behaviour
- f. Poverty in the neighbourhood or household affected by multiple-deprivation
- g. Family disruption, ineffective parenting
- h. Young Carer
- i. Young parent
- e) Change the name of the Local Prevention Framework award to Neighbourhood Prevention Grants.
- f) The purpose of Neighbourhood Prevention is to solely focus on those at risk of becoming NEET young people from 1 September 2013 in school years 8 to 11.
- g) That the Local Prevention Framework commissions should be awarded in the form of a funding agreement grant, rather than a contract as at present. This should encourage more, smaller providers to submit bids due to reduced bureaucracy.
- h) The Neighbourhood Prevention Grant be awarded for two years from 1 September 2013. This is to allow providers more time to develop relations with local networks and young people locally.
- i) Previously, interested providers were required to bid for 100%, 50% or 33% of the available funds. From 1 September 2013 providers will be free to bid for any amount above 25% of the total fund available (under £5,000 to be met from Universal Prevention Grants (Youth Small Grants). This should allow smaller organisations to bid for work from the Local Committee.
- The amount allocated to each of the eleven Borough and Districts is reviewed each commissioning cycle and is based on the needs of each area based on current NEET and RONI cohorts. There is an adjustment for the number of

Page 3

- youth centres to compensate boroughs or districts with fewer youth centres. For 2013-15 Epsom & Ewell has been allocated £84,000.
- 9 The borough's allocation for Universal Prevention Grants is £15,000.

#### **ANALYSIS**

- Services for Young People's strategic objective is 100 % participation in Employment, Training and Education. The Local Prevention Framework contributes to this by reducing risk factors that may lead to a young person becoming NEET.
- Over half of the young people who were NEET in 2011-12 or have been identified as at risk of becoming NEET for 2013 live in Court and Ruxley wards (58 and 99 young people respectively). The three most deprived areas in the borough are also in Court and Ruxley wards.
- Over half of the NEET young people in Epsom and Ewell were NEET for more than 26 weeks and a high proportion (37%) were in year 13.
- 13 86% of young people who have been identified as at risk of becoming NEET in Epsom & Ewell have some form of learning difficulty or disability.
- More than half of this group in Epsom and Ewell live in areas of relative income deprivation, whilst, for a quarter, English is not their first language. This is double the proportion in Surrey as a whole.
- Epsom & Ewell's 10-19 year old population is: <u>9,421</u> (7% of Surrey's 10-19 year old population).

# **CONSULTATION:**

- The Local Committee Youth Task Group met on the 4 February 2013 to consider the needs of the borough and to set the needs assessment and specification for Epsom & Ewell.
- Local Committee Chairmen were consulted on the 22 January 2013. Consultation will be ongoing throughout the procurement process.
- The proposed improvements to the Local Prevention Framework model were considered and supported by the Education Select Committee on the 29 November 2012 following consultation with Local Committee Chairmen on the 20 November 2012.

# **RISK MANAGEMENT AND IMPLICATIONS:**

- A robust performance management framework will be in place to mitigate against the risk of poor performance.
- Commissioning services based on specific local needs is likely to result in greater outcomes for young people and so it is anticipated that the improvements outlined in this report, including the local needs specification, would mitigate against the likelihood of poor performance and any reputational damage that this may cause.

#### Financial and Value for Money Implications

- 21 It is anticipated local commissioning will offer better value for money in that the outcomes commissioned and work delivered will be more closely aligned to local need.
- The Local Prevention budget for 2013/14 has already been partially allocated by the Local Committee to extend the present providers contract to 31 August 2013. £35,000 has been allocated to Epsom & Ewell Borough Council as agreed by the Cabinet Member on the 12 September 2012.
- The remainder £49,000 will be allocated for the period 1 September 2013 31 March 2014, a further £84,000 for the period 1 April 2014 31 March 2015 and a final £35,500 for the period 1 April 2015 31 August 2015. Subject to Cabinet and Full Council budget decisions in 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16. Any reductions in the 2014-15 and 2015-16 will be passed on to the providers. This will be made clear to all providers at the bidding stage and award stage.

## **Section 151 Officer Commentary**

This report makes proposals for the deployment of the Epsom and Ewell local budget allocation of £84k. This budget has been included in the MTFP. The proposed contract allows for variation in the resources deployed to accommodated budget decisions in future years.

# **Legal Implications – Monitoring Officer**

Funding agreements will be signed by both parties prior to commencement of service delivery.

#### **Equalities and Diversity**

- The devolved commissioning budget is targeted to groups who are vulnerable or at risk.
- 27 An Equalities Impact assessment was completed for the Transformation Project and its scope included the Local Prevention Framework.

# Corporate Parenting/Looked After Children implications

Local Prevention is targeted at young people at risk of becoming NEET including young people in care.

#### Safeguarding responsibilities for vulnerable children and adults implications

All providers will comply with Surrey Safeguarding Board standards. Local Prevention is targeted at young people who may be vulnerable and at risk of becoming NEET and so will help towards safeguarding young people.

# Climate change/carbon emissions implications

Neighbourhood Prevention Grants will encourage local organisations helping to minimise traffic and travel time. (again – you cannot exclude other

organisations from bidding – you can have a local knowledge/expertise/connexions as one of the areas that you would evaluate providers on)

# **WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:**

- The next step will be for officers to develop a prospectus which will provide those organisations who wish to bid the necessary local information.
- Officers will invite organisations to bid and those bids will be short-listed by the Commissioning and Development Team.
- A competitive process will take place where the short-listed providers will present their proposals to the Youth Task Group.
- A recommendation on the awarding of grant(s) will be brought to the Cabinet Member for Community Safety for approval should the conflict of interest still be relevant.
- 35 It is anticipated that the new provider(s) will be in place for 1 September 2013.

#### **Contact Officer:**

Jeremy Crouch, Contract Performance Officer Telephone: 01737 737685 / 07968 832437

#### Consulted:

Local Committee Chairmen Epsom & Ewell Local Committee Epsom & Ewell Youth Task Group Young people in Epsom & Ewell

#### **Annexes:**

Annex 1 – Local Needs Specification for Epsom & Ewell

# Epsom and Ewell Neighbourhood Prevention Local Service Specification

#### **Definitions:**

- NEET young people are those who are 'Not in Education, Employment or Training'. They are in year groups 12-14 (aged 16-19) and have had at least one period when they were out of education or work during the 2011-2012 Academic Year (Sept 2011 - Aug 2012);
- RONI young people are those who have been identified as 'At Risk' of becoming NEET when they leave school (aka RONI) are in year groups 8-11. These young people have been identified by Services for Young People in collaboration with schools. They will exhibit a number of NEET indicators, such as being Looked After or a Child in Need, involvement with crime or anti-social behaviour, low school attendance or fixed term exclusions, or having a learning difficulty or disability.

### Key local services/commissions.

There are three key strands to Services for Young People commissions and Providers will be expected to link between these commissions:

- **Centre Based Youth Work** Delivers universal and targeted provision to all young people. Also works with the RONI cohort.
- Youth Support Service A one-to-one case management service supporting young people who are NEET, in the Youth Justice System, Child in Need and homelessness.
- **Neighbourhood Prevention Grant** Providing preventative services to RONI young people.

The priority for the Neighbourhood Prevention Grant in Epsom and Ewell is to prevent young people from becoming NEET by supporting young people in academic years 8-11 to reduce their risk factors and increase protective factors for those who are identified as being most at risk of becoming NEET.

Prevention activities should be co-produced with young people and delivered in the local community. Preventative services must demonstrate high-quality delivery and a focus on meeting the individual needs of young people identified as being at Risk of NEET (RONI). There were 115 young people NEET in Epsom and Ewell and 176 identified as at risk of NEET (RONI's) in 2011/12.

# Key characteristics for the Neighbourhood Prevention Grant.

Neighbourhood Prevention activity must take place outside the school day and be delivered from premises other than the Youth Centres in Epsom and Ewell which are located at Lintons, Yo Yo and The Edge. Initial contact can be made in schools.

Based on the knowledge of local need the Epsom and Ewell Local Committee Task Group identified the following neighbourhoods as being in need of this type of provision. Although these are priority areas, it is important that there is borough wide access to any commissioned service according to the needs of individual young people. Providers must deliver from one or more of these areas of Epsom and Ewell:

- Longmeade
- Watersedge
- Stoneleigh

The Task Group has identified the following areas of need which projects should address with the overall objective of removing barriers to Participation in Education, Training or Employment (PETE):

- English as a Second Language (ESOL) The area around Epsom Primary and Stoneleigh have high numbers of families with ESOL needs. Projects should focus on this barrier to PETE in these areas.
- Mentors and Role Models Projects which offer young people highly developed role models, and mentoring opportunities to support them on a 1 to 1 basis to make a successful transition post 16.
- Mental Health Projects to support young people with mental health needs, poor social skills, low self esteem, aspirations and motivation.

# The Task Group has identified a need for projects, which fulfil the following key criteria:

- Projects must be preventative and demonstrate a strategy for promoting the project and engaging young people. Use of alternative media to communicate with young people is desirable.
- Projects must work alongside the Supported Families Programme, Youth Support Service, Surrey Police, and create links with Youth Centres.
- Projects must deliver during the school holidays (in particular the Summer, Easter and half-term holidays), weekends and evenings to young people in addition to term-time out of school hours.
- Projects should have a focus on working with young people around relationships in the broadest sense (e.g. friendships, peer, family and personal relationships).
- Providers should form strong links with local schools and existing alternative education/training provision, including non-statutory education services ensuring that they take account of current provision in the Borough.
- Projects should not duplicate existing provision within the Epsom and Ewell area and should be flexible, enhancing or adding value to existing services.
- Projects should offer CAB style provision for assistance with finance and benefits.
- Bids should demonstrate a clear strategy for sustainability and methods to build community cohesion.

Bids will be scored by their ability to meet the above needs and deliver in the geographic areas listed above.

#### SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

#### **CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITY SAFETY**

DATE: 15 MARCH 2013

LEAD SUSIE KEMP – ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE

**OFFICER:** 

SUBJECT: ABBEY BARN TRUST

# **SUMMARY OF ISSUE:**

The Abbey Barn Trust is an inactive trust that is currently being held by the County Council. A request has been made to transfer the full amount of approximately £71,000 to be administered and managed by the Community Foundation for Surrey for the benefit of young people in Runnymede as originally intended.

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Cabinet Member for Community Safety to transfer the funds to Community Foundation for Surrey.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

It is recommended that:

 That the Cabinet Member approves that Surrey County Council transfer the cash currently held by the council, plus the proceeds from the sale of investments in full to the Community Foundation for Surrey, so they are able to utilise the funds through the Runnymede Community Fund Panel and the young people of Runnymede are able to utilise the funds.

#### **REASON FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The County Council is confident that the Community Foundation for Surrey is a very suitable vehicle to distribute long term funds in the form of grants to the young people in Runnymede. This will be with full involvement of local people, is in line with the intentions of the original trust and value for money as additional funds will be generated to support the bequest.

# **DETAILS:**

#### 1. The Abbey Barn Trust:

- 1.1 The Trust was set up in 1936 to promote the recreation and physical welfare of young people from 16 years. It was run by the Abbey Barn Club.
- 1.2 In 1952, the County Council was appointed sole trustee in place of the original trustees. The Trust's only asset was the barn, which was a 400 year old listed building and was used as premises for an open youth club.
- 1.3 In 1973, the Barn was considered to be surplus to requirements. The barn required a substantial amount of repair to put it into good condition, and so it was decided to sell the barn to another charity, The Acorn Children's Theatre Trust,

- for £10,000. This was approved by the Charity Commissioners and the sale took place in May 1980.
- 1.4 The £10,000 was invested and produced an income and in 1984, it was decided that 50% of the income each year should be distributed for the purposes of providing or contributing to the provision of social or recreational facilities for young people in the borough of Runnymede. For some years the Local Committee did administer part of the funds for this purpose but more recently it has remained inactive.

#### 2. Community Foundation for Surrey:

- 2.1 The Community Foundation for Surrey (CFS) was established in 2005 and is an independent charitable trust raising the level of charitable donations for the benefit of the people of Surrey, connecting people who want to give with those in need. The Foundation currently manages and administers a significant amount of charitable funds that have been donated by individuals, families, companies and trusts. These funds support a programme of grant-making to communities across Surrey with over £2.8 million in grants being distributed over the last six years. In addition, the CFS has built up permanent community funds of £5 million from which the interest generated is used to award grants to community groups.
- 2.2 Since CFS's inception, the County Council has provided funding, has carried out annual monitoring and reviews, has full records of audited accounts, and has been updated on progress and quality accreditation achieved.
- 2.3 In 2010 the CFS passed the quality accreditation standards as set by the Charity Commission Standards for Community Foundations, at an exemplary level.

#### 3. Proposal:

- 3.1 It is proposed by the County Council that the funds are transferred to the CFS, who will match fund the amount and pay it in to the Runnymede Community Fund which supports local and community groups across the borough of Runnymede. The fund would be a restricted fund held in perpetuity by the Community Foundation.
- 3.2. The Abbey Barn monies would be ring fenced within the Runnymede Community Fund in order to support 'youth activity' in the area aligning it to the original objects of the trust. All decisions will be made by the local Runnymede Community Fund panel, which is best placed to know what the need is in the area.
- 3.3 The Abbey Barn monies are eligible to be matched under the Community First Programme funded by the Treasury. Based on a sum of approximately £71,000 a 5% contribution is made to the Community Foundation resulting in a total donation of £67,450. This is in line with all the Community Foundations in the UK who have set this amount to cover the cost of managing the 'Community First Programme'. The Community Foundation would then be able to draw match funds based on a 1:2 basis of an additional £33,725, resulting in total funds of £101,175, leaving a substantial permanent legacy to support young people in Runnymede.
- 3.4 To take advantage of the match funding it is critical that the funds are transferred to the CFS before the end of March 2013 otherwise this opportunity will be lost.

- 3.5 Some key advantages of progressing in this way will be:
- The proposal has the support of the Runnymede Community Fund, the Borough Council, local Members and the local Council for Voluntary Services;
- The money will be a substantial boost to the Runnymede Community Fund;
- There will be an opportunity to draw in other donations to the fund and build this up over time through other charitable donations;
- Grants will be given in perpetuity creating a significant legacy for Runnymede;
- Match funding is available from the Community Foundation through the 'Community First Programme' for money going in to the endowment fund ensuring the original legacy is enhanced and substantial funds are available for young people;
- Grant award decisions will be made at a local level;
- Monitoring of how the grants are being used and evaluating the difference they are making will be carried out by the CFS;
- Existing processes and structures are in place to manage and administer grants and the CFS is nationally accredited and recognised for best practice;
- Local community groups would have access to wider funds within CFS, as well as access to advice and support in making funding applications and
- Grants will be promoted and greater opportunities through connections created through the CFS contacts, Trustees, Ambassadors, events and general activities.

# **CONSULTATION:**

- 4.1 The proposal has been developed in consultation with and in partnership with Runnymede Community Fund panel, Borough Council officers, local Members, the local Council for Voluntary Services and Community Foundation for Surrey.
- 4.2 Officers from the Chief Executive's Office have spoken with all the aforementioned members and are confident this approach is most suitable and has been developed in partnership, with the intended beneficiaries at the heart of the proposal.

# **RISK MANAGEMENT AND IMPLICATIONS:**

5. CFS is a recognised, respected and accredited organisation and has the relevant systems, processes and controls in place to distribute funds in the manner a donation is intended. Regular reports will be produced by CFS which will mitigate the risk of the bequest being used in any other way than it was originally intended and prevent any legal challenge against this.

#### Financial and Value for Money Implications

- 6. By transferring the funds to the CFS the County Council can be certain of excellent value for money. The match funding will ensure will ensure 50% more funding is generated to the amount allocated to an endowment fund through the Community Foundation. The funds will also be available in perpetuity and the scope to advantage the intended beneficiaries will be much greater than the original bequest amount.
- 6.1 The CFS has existing processes in place to manage and distribute the funds and there would be no need to create a new process to distribute the funds.

# **Section 151 Officer Commentary**

7. The council holds approximately £39,200 of the Trust Fund in revenue cash, and the remaining £10,000 capital investment is valued at approximately £31,800. These investments would need to be sold prior to transfer to the Community Foundation and the value at sale will differ from the latest market valuation. Therefore the funds finally transferred to the Community Foundation will comprise of the revenue cash, plus the proceeds of the sale of the investments.

# **Legal Implications – Monitoring Officer**

- 8. Advice was sought from the Charity Commission in 1984 on some outstanding legal issues and again in March 2013 to confirm the Trust can be transferred to the Community Foundation Surrey. This is acceptable provided the funds are used specifically for the social and recreational facilities for young people in the area of Runnymede. Funds have previously been transferred to Community Foundation for Surrey with the Charity Commission's support.
- 8.1 The Council may therefore at its discretion deal with the funds in a way that it determines best achieves the objects of the Trust and the Cabinet Member should satisfy herself that the proposals outlined in this report achieve this.

# **Equalities and Diversity**

- The CFS adheres to an Equal Opportunity Policy and awards grants across all the protected category groups and is particularly supportive of vulnerable people of all ages.
- 9.1 The Abbey Barn Trust is to support the young people of Runnymede. This would potentially include young people in the protected categories, for e.g., young people with disabilities.

# Other Implications:

The potential implications for the following council priorities and policy areas have been considered. Where the impact is potentially significant a summary of the issues is set out in detail below.

Area assessed:	Direct Implications:
Corporate Parenting/Looked After	No significant implications arising
Children	from this report
Safeguarding responsibilities for	No significant implications arising
vulnerable children and adults	from this report
Public Health	No significant implications arising
	from this report
Climate change	No significant implications arising
	from this report
Carbon emissions	No significant implications arising
	from this report

# **WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:**

Officers from the Chief Executive's Office will write to the Community Foundation for Surrey to inform them of the decision and work with internal colleagues as necessary to transfer funds, if this is acceptable.

#### **Contact Officer:**

Susie Kemp, Assistant Chief Executive, Surrey County Council

Tel: 020 8541 7043

# Consulted:

Community Foundation for Surrey
Runnymede Community Foundation
Local Members
Runnymede Borough Council
Surrey County Council Youth Service/Legal Service and Finance Team
Voluntary Services North Surrey

# Sources/background papers:

Report to Land & Buildings Committee – April 1978 FE Sub Committee Report & Minutes – July 1984 Letter to Charity commission – March 1984 Response from Charity Commission – June 1984 This page is intentionally left blank